Preprint number: XXXX-XXXX

Decoherence in Sub-Systems of an Isolated System and the Disappearance of Quantum Multiverse

Takuji ISHIKAWA

Using three particles model without external environments, it is found that decisions of respective state of three particles by decoherence are not simultaneous. Furthermore, in this model, wave function of total three body system collapses spontaneously without any external environments. Therefore we may able to insist that a wavefunction of our universe has already collapsed spontaneously without any external observer, because of the same mechanism with this model.

Subject Index xxxx, xxx

arXiv:1606.06282v1 [quant-ph] 18 Jun 2016

typeset using $\mathcal{PTP}$TEX.cls

1 Introduction

Decoherence is that interference among different quantum states disappear because of dissipation-fluctuation from environments[1,2]. It is said that decoherence makes a quantum system classical. Because when interference vanishes, an object can not go to other quantum states. It means the object gets classicality. In quantum mechanics, an object can be in some contradictory states at the same time. This fact has made some paradoxes. Now, it is expected that decoherence solves those paradoxes. For example, "Schrödinger's cat", which is a superposition of a dead cat and an alive cat, disappears by decoherence because of environmental effect such as room temperature. Therefore we can not see real Schrödinger's cat. On the other hand, decoherence seems helpless for fantasies such as Everett's interpretation (Many-worlds interpretation)[3] or multiverse. In principle, the universe we live in can be in some contradictory states at the same time. But there is no observer who decides a state of our universe uniquely nor external environment making decoherence for our universe. So, do we have better lives in the other universe ?

I have studied decoherence in a finite system. My motivation was the fact that semi-classical models, such as liquid drop model[7] or TDHF[8], are not bad theories in nuclear physics. I wondered the fact. Then I knew Caldeira-Leggett's theory[5,6]. It is said that the key of decoherence is the external environment which is composed with an infinite number of degrees of freedom. Although I was very impressed, I doubted the "infinite numbers". Because I thought that nuclear system, which is finite system, is classical to some extent.

In my previous paper[4], I have shown that decoherence occurs in a sub-system of an isolated system without any other external environments. In the paper[4], there are only 3 particles, and it is suggested that particle-1 as a sub-system gets classicality because of fluctuations from other 2 particles. Furthermore, it is suggested that while classical trajectories are crossing, decoherences arise in corresponding quantum system.

Because my model is symmetric about three particles, we can confirm that the environmental particles, particle-2 and -3 also get classicality respectively. Then, how are the 3 particles as a whole?

By this research, it is suggested that a state of a whole system of three particles seems to get classicality too. That is, this system's wavefunction collapses spontaneously without any external environments. This result seems to break unitarity of this whole system of three particles.

2 Method

Please imagine a closed system, there three particles are mutually tied with springs which have different angular frequencies respectively. Lagrangean L is as follow. (x_1 means position of particle-1, etc.)

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \frac{m}{2}\dot{x_1}^2 + \frac{m}{2}\dot{x_2}^2 + \frac{m}{2}\dot{x_3}^2 \\ &\quad -\frac{m}{2}\omega_{12}^2(x_1 - x_2)^2 - \frac{m}{2}\omega_{13}^2(x_1 - x_3)^2 \\ &\quad -\frac{m}{2}\omega_{23}^2(x_2 - x_3)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Here, there are three angular frequencies, ω_{12}, ω_{13}, ω_{23}. When they do not have the ratio of whole number, their trajectories are not closed, and densely fill some finite region. There, since it is thought that ergodicity is fulfilled locally, it can be expected that statistical property and also irreversibility appear. If irreversibility induces decoherence, decoherence should arise by such a system. For this model, I will apply the technique of Caldeira-Leggett. This three particles model is a extreme reduction of their "harmonic oscillator plus reservoir model"[5,6]. We can derive a Feynman propagator for Eq.(1). Using the propagator, we can write a time evolution of wave function of three body system.

Initial wave function of the three body system is the product of wave functions of each particles at initial time t_0. And the each initial state is Schrödinger cat state,

$$\psi_1(x_{1(0)}, t_0) = \tilde{N_1} \times$$

$$\left[\exp\left\{ -\frac{x_{1(0)}^2}{4\sigma_1^2} \right\} + \exp\left\{ -\frac{(x_{1(0)} - d_1)^2}{4\sigma_1^2} \right\} \right] \tag{2}$$

etc.. Here, $x_{1(0)}$ means $x_1(t_0)$, σ_1 means half width of packet and $\tilde{N_1}$ means a normalization constant. When we are only interested in the information about a degree of freedom (particle-1) as a subsystem, we should integrate out the information about particle-2 and -3 as environments. Then we can get the information about particle-1 only, that is, the reduced density function for particle-1, $\tilde{\rho}_1$.

$$\tilde{\rho}_1^{(reduced)}(x_1, t) =$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_2\ dx_3\ \rho^{(total)}(x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \tag{3}$$

Furthermore, we can separate the quantum interference term from the reduced density, and a disappearance of the interference means decoherence. I used numerical integration in Eq.(3) and final normalization. If you interested in details, please watch Appendix. We can get the reduced density function for particle-2 and particle-3 respectively with same ways. Therefore we can also check decoherence for particle-2 and particle-3.

This procedure above is basically the same as Caldeira-Leggett's technique[5,6]. They used an influence functional method in which a Feynman propagator includes effects of environmental degrees of freedom. The difference between my procedure here and theirs is only an order of integrals and path integrals.

On the other hand, we can draw corresponding classical trajectories $x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t)$. Each particle has 2 initial positions which are corresponding to centers of two Gaussian packets in quantum system, and their all initial velocities are set 0. Then we can draw $2^3 = 8$ trajectories on a (x_i-t) plane ($i = 1, 2, 3$). While classical trajectories are crossing, decoherences arise in corresponding quantum system[4].

3 Result

Time evolutions of reduced density functions $\tilde{\rho}_1$,$\tilde{\rho}_2$,$\tilde{\rho}_3$ which are derived from quantum mechanical calculations are shown at upper three graphs in (Fig.1.- Fig.3.). As you can see, there are 2 packets in each graph. The one packet was at the origin ($x_1 = 0$ for Fig.1, etc.) initially. The another packet was at a distance ($x_1 = d_1$ for Fig.1, etc.) initially. And there are 2 wave-like lines in each graph. The lower wave-like line is the quantum interference between these 2 packets. Disappearance of the interference means an emergence of classicality, that is decoherence. The upper wave-like line is the reduced density function for each particle. On the other hand, corresponding classical trajectories $x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t)$ are shown at the bottom in each figure.

Lagrangian is Eq.(1). Masses of three particles are the same, m=1.0. And $\omega_{12} = 0.305$, $\omega_{13} = 0.1$, $\omega_{23} = 0.202$. Initial wave function for particle-1 is Eq.(2) in which d_1=-5.0. For particle-2 and particle-3, d_2=6.0, d_3=7.5 respectively. And normalization constants $\tilde{N}_1, \tilde{N}_2, \tilde{N}_3$ can be set 1, because we can use a numerical normalization. And $\hbar = 1.0$.

4 DISCUSSION

Above-mentioned result is rewritten as follows using state vectors schematically. The centers of packets above correspond to positions of particles in state vectors. $|0\ 0\ 0>$ is a state vector which expresses "particle-1 is in origin, particle-2 is in origin, and particle-3 is in origin", at a initial time t_0. $|d_1\ 0\ 0>$ is a state vector which expresses "particle-1 is distant

(a) t=3.005


Time t = 3.005
The effective reduced packet at origin when t=0
The effective reduced packet at x1 = d1 when t=0
The interference of double x1 packets
The total reduced density of x1
Reduced density ~rho(x1)
Position x1

(b) t=3.505


Time t = 3.505
The effective reduced packet at origin when t=0
The effective reduced packet at x1 = d1 when t=0
The interference of double x1 packets
The total reduced density of x1
Reduced density ~rho(x1)
Position x1

(c) t=6.005


Time t = 6.005
The effective reduced packet at origin when t=0
The effective reduced packet at x1 = d1 when t=0
The interference of double x1 packets
The total reduced density of x1
Reduced density ~rho(x1)
Position x1

(d) Classical trajectories x_1(t)



Fig. 1 Time evolution of the reduced dencity of particle-1. (a) Time t=3.005, when interference is still strong. (b) Time t=3.505, when the interference starts to damp. (c) Time

(a) t=2.005


Time t = 2.005
The effective reduced packet at origin when t=0
The effective reduced packet at x2 = d2 when t=0
The interference of double x2 packets
The total reduced density of x2
Reduced density ~rho(x2)
Position x2

(b) t=3.505


Time t = 3.505
The effective reduced packet at origin when t=0
The effective reduced packet at x2 = d2 when t=0
The interference of double x2 packets
The total reduced density of x2
Reduced density ~rho(x2)
Position x2

(c) t=5.005


Time t = 5.005
The effective reduced packet at origin when t=0
The effective reduced packet at x2 = d2 when t=0
The interference of double x2 packets
The total reduced density of x2
Reduced density ~rho(x2)
Position x2

(d) Classical trajectories x_2(t)



Fig. 2 Time evolution of the reduced dencity of particle-2. (a) Time t=2.005, when interference is still strong. (b) Time t=3.505, when the interference starts to damp. (c) Time

(a) t=4.005


Time t = 4.005
The effective reduced packet at origin when t=0
The effective reduced packet at x3 = d3 when t=0
The interference of double x3 packets
The total reduced density of x3
Reduced density ~rho(x3)
Position x3

(b) t=5.505


Time t = 5.505
The effective reduced packet at origin when t=0
The effective reduced packet at x3 = d3 when t=0
The interference of double x3 packets
The total reduced density of x3
Reduced density ~rho(x3)
Position x3

(c) t=10.005


Time t = 10.005
The effective reduced packet at origin when t=0
The effective reduced packet at x3 = d3 when t=0
The interference of double x3 packets
The total reduced density of x3
Reduced density ~rho(x3)
Position x3

(d) Classical trajectories x_3(t)



Fig. 3 Time evolution of the reduced dencity of particle-3. (a) Time t=4.005, when interference is still strong. (b) Time t=5.505, when the interference starts to damp. (c) Time

from origin, particle-2 is in origin, and particle-3 is in origin", at t_0. $\cdots$ $|d_1\ d_2\ d_3>$ is a state vector which expresses "particle-1 is distant from origin, particle-2 is distant from origin, and particle-3 is distant from origin", at t_0. In order to simplify this talk, the character in $|\cdots>$ expresses only initial locations of three particles. Actually, the position of particles changes with time. This way of writing is for only distinguishing state vectors by the state of the initial time. Three particles start to interact each other at initial time t_0. At that time, each of three particles has two possible initial positions(the origin 0 and the distant position $d_i (i = 1, 2, 3)$). Therefore a state vector of total three body system $|\Phi>_{\rm total}$ is a summation of $2^3 = 8$ vectors as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi>_{\rm total} &= |0\ 0\ 0> + |d_1\ 0\ 0> \\ &+ |0\ d_2\ 0> + |0\ 0\ d_3> + |d_1\ d_2\ 0> \\ &+ |0\ d_2\ d_3> + |d_1\ 0\ d_3> + |d_1\ d_2\ d_3> \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

By this research, it is suggested that wavefunction collapse by decoherence is not instant, and occurs gradually. With a time evolution, the state of the particle-2 is first decided by decoherence at the time t=2.0-5.0 (Fig.2).

Here I have to emphasize some fact as follows. Although decoherence destroys interference between two quantum states of particle-2, it is considered to be powerless for the final determination of which state to come true for particle-2. Although the mechanism that finally decided which state will be realized is not known, anyway, only one state will be selected from either of two states. This time, the selected state for particle-2 is written as "S_2". (S_2 is either d_2 or 0.) If we assume that the unselected states will be vanished, then the state of total system becomes Eq.(5).

$$|\Phi>_{\rm total} = |0\ S_2\ 0> + |d_1\ S_2\ 0> + |0\ S_2\ d_3> + |d_1\ S_2\ d_3> \tag{5}$$

Next, the state of the particle-1 is decided by decoherence at the time t=3.0-6.0 (Fig.1). Here, the selected state for particle-1 is written as "S_1". (S_1 is either d_1 or 0.)Then the state of total system becomes Eq.(6).

$$|\Phi>_{\rm total} = |S_1\ S_2\ 0> + |S_1\ S_2\ d_3> \tag{6}$$

Finally, the state of the particle-3 is decided by decoherence at the time t=4.0-10.0 (Fig.3). Here, the selected state for particle-3 is written as "S_3". (S_3 is either d_3 or 0.) Then the state of total system becomes

$$|\Phi>_{\rm total} = |S_1\ S_2\ S_3> . \tag{7}$$

This result implies that a closed system which has some kind of property (Probably, it is a dephasing by the intersection of classical trajectories[4].) gets classicality spontaneously without external environment or observer.

Therefore we can insist as follows. Our universe is a closed quantum mechanical system in principle and it does not have any external environments or observers. But it should

have some kinetic property like this three Schrödinger cats model, therefore wavefunction of our universe collapses spontaneously and our universe gets classicality. The macroscopic quantum multiverse is difficult to exist, if this result is correct.

If you would like to confirm this result experimentally, an experiment is suggested. That you have to do is to throw this model and let it pass a double slit. Beautiful quantum interference stripe like the one arises when someone uses light, an electron, fullerene, will not arise. Since interference between two wave packets disappears before this model crashes into a screen.

5 Conclusion

When decoherence arises with internal degrees of freedom only, each of degrees of freedom does not need to get classicality simultaneously. That is, determinations of their respective states occur gradually. In this three body model, after respective decoherences for each of three particles have occurred, a state of a whole system of three particles seems to get classicality, too. That is, it is suggested that wavefunction of some kind of closed system (Probably, which includes a dephasing by the intersection of classical trajectories.) collapses spontaneously without any external environments. Therefore, it can be reasoned that the wavefunction of the universe in which we live is also already collapsed by the same mechanism.

Acknowledgment

I would like to thank Prof.Fumihiko Sakata. I would like to thank my family, Yuzuki, Miyu and Yuko Ishikawa.

References

[1] W.H.Zurek, Los Alamos Science **27**,2 (2002).
[2] S.Takagi, *Kyoshiteki Tonneru Gensho* (Macroscopic tunneling)(IwanamiShoten,Tokyo,1997)[in Japanese].
[3] H.Everett, Reviews of Modern Physics **29**, 454462 (1957).
[4] T.Ishikawa, in *Proceedings of the 12th Asia Pacific Physics Conference (APPC12),Japan,2013* edited by M. Sasao, JPS Conf. Proc.**1**, 012133 (2014).
[5] A.O.Caldeira and A.J.Leggett, Physical Review A **31**,1059 (1985).
[6] A.O.Caldeira and A.J.Leggett, Physica A **121**, 587 (1983).
[7] I.Ragnarsson and S.G.Nilsson, *Shapes and Shells in Nuclear Structure* (Cambridge University Press,2005).
[8] H.Kuratsuji, *Genshikaku Kenkyu* **28** No.2, 3-33 (Genshikaku Danwakai,1983).

A Three Schrödinger cats model.

Here, We will use a simple model to discuss a possibility of quantum decoherence in a finite system. That is three bosonic particles tied up each other with three springs which have different frequencies. For this model, we will apply the Caldeira & Leggett's technique. Our three particle model is a extreme reduction of their "harmonic oscillator plus reservoir" model.

In this section, a system which includes 1-dimensional three particles tied with different springs will be transformed to another coordinates system containing two uncoupled harmonic oscillators and a free particle. And a Feynman propagator for the new coordinates will be derived and be retranslated into the original three body system. Then we will get a propagator for harmonically bound three particles.

Next, respective initial wave functions for each particle will be prepared as a pair of Gaussian wave packets (Schrödinger cat state). The initial state of total three body system is the product of those Schrödinger cat states. Then it will start to turn into a entangled state of three particles by the propagator.

In a isolated system, it is said that it's difficult to suppose that the interference term of wave function of isolated total system vanishes. But we will pay attention to the particle-1 only and integrate out other two degrees of freedom about other two particles. Then we will get a reduced density function for particle-1. This procedure corresponds to ignoring fine informations about other two particles and taking an average. Then, we will observe changes of the Gaussian wave packets of particle-1 and the interference term between them.

A.1 Classical model.

Classically, this model is solvable, that is a integrable system. Its Lagrangian is as follows.

$$L = \frac{m}{2}\dot{x_1}^2 + \frac{m}{2}\dot{x_2}^2 + \frac{m}{2}\dot{x_3}^2 - \frac{m}{2}\omega_{12}^2(x_1 - x_2)^2 - \frac{m}{2}\omega_{13}^2(x_1 - x_3)^2 - \frac{m}{2}\omega_{23}^2(x_2 - x_3)^2 \quad \text{(A1)}$$

Applying the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_i} - \frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x_i}} = 0 \quad \text{(A2)}$$

to eq.$(A1)$, we can get three equations of motion.

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -(\omega_{12}^2 + \omega_{13}^2) & \omega_{12}^2 & \omega_{13}^2 \\ \omega_{12}^2 & -(\omega_{12}^2 + \omega_{23}^2) & \omega_{23}^2 \\ \omega_{13}^2 & \omega_{23}^2 & -(\omega_{13}^2 + \omega_{23}^2) \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{(A3)}$$

Rewriting this,

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\boldsymbol{X}_{(t)} = \boldsymbol{W}\boldsymbol{X}_{(t)} \tag{A4}$$

and using a time-independent matrix $\boldsymbol{P}$ then,

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(\boldsymbol{P}\boldsymbol{X}_{(t)}) = \boldsymbol{P}\boldsymbol{W}\boldsymbol{P}^{-1}(\boldsymbol{P}\boldsymbol{X}_{(t)}) \tag{A5}$$

Here, we can select $\boldsymbol{P}$ for making $\boldsymbol{P}\boldsymbol{W}\boldsymbol{P}^{-1}$ diagonal, thus we can get three uncoupled differential equations. I will show this. Eigen values of $\boldsymbol{W}$, λ satisfy an equation

$$-\left\{\ \lambda^3 + 2(\omega_{12}^2+\omega_{13}^2+\omega_{23}^2)\lambda^2 + 3(\omega_{12}^2\omega_{13}^2+\omega_{13}^2\omega_{23}^2+\omega_{12}^2\omega_{23}^2)\lambda\ \right\} \equiv 0 \tag{A6}$$

. We can derive its solutions $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$, and obviously 0 is a solution, so we set λ_3 to be 0. And we define

$$\boldsymbol{P}\boldsymbol{W}\boldsymbol{P}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \lambda_2 & \\ & & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \tag{A7}$$

$$\boldsymbol{P}\boldsymbol{X}_{(t)} \equiv \boldsymbol{Z}_{(t)} \tag{A8}$$

. Then, equation (A5) becomes to

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\boldsymbol{Z}_{(t)} = \boldsymbol{\Lambda}\boldsymbol{Z}_{(t)} \tag{A9}$$

, that is, we can get three independent differential equations as follows.

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}\begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \lambda_2 & \\ & & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ z_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 z_1 \\ \lambda_2 z_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{A10}$$

Here we define

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\omega^2 &\equiv \sqrt{\omega_{12}^4 - \omega_{12}^2\omega_{13}^2 + \omega_{13}^4 - \omega_{13}^2\omega_{23}^2 + \omega_{23}^4 - \omega_{23}^2\omega_{12}^2} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}\left\{(\omega_{12}^2-\omega_{13}^2)^2 + (\omega_{13}^2-\omega_{23}^2)^2 + (\omega_{23}^2-\omega_{12}^2)^2\right\}} \\ &= \sqrt{(\omega_{12}^2+\omega_{13}^2+\omega_{23}^2)^2 - 3\omega_{12}^2\omega_{13}^2 - 3\omega_{13}^2\omega_{23}^2 - 3\omega_{23}^2\omega_{12}^2} \end{aligned} \tag{A11}$$

and from equation (A6), λ_1, λ_2 are

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_1 = -\omega_{12}^2 - \omega_{13}^2 - \omega_{23}^2 + \Delta\omega^2 < 0 \\ \lambda_2 = -\omega_{12}^2 - \omega_{13}^2 - \omega_{23}^2 - \Delta\omega^2 < 0 \end{cases} \tag{A12}$$

. Paying attention to their signs, we can solve the equation (A10). Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} z_{1(t)} &= A_1 \sin \Omega_1 t + B_1 \cos \Omega_1 t \\ z_{2(t)} &= A_2 \sin \Omega_2 t + B_2 \cos \Omega_2 t \\ z_{3(t)} &= C_1 t + C_2 \end{aligned} \tag{A13}$$

, where

$$\Omega_1 \equiv \sqrt{-\lambda_1},\ \Omega_2 \equiv \sqrt{-\lambda_2} \tag{A14}$$

and $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2, C_1, C_2$ are integral constants. They depend on initial conditions of $\boldsymbol{X}(t)$ and $\dot{\boldsymbol{X}}(t)$. For example, from eq.(A13),

$$A_1 = \frac{\dot{z}_{1(t_0)}}{\Omega_1}, A_2 = \frac{\dot{z}_{2(t_0)}}{\Omega_2}, B_1 = z_{1(t_0)}, B_2 = z_{2(t_0)}, C_1 = \dot{z}_{3(t_0)}, C_2 = z_{3(t_0)} \tag{A15}$$

when the initial time $t_0 = 0$. And $z_{i(0)}, \dot{z}_{i(0)} (i = 1, 2, 3)$ will be written with $x_{i(0)}, \dot{x}_{i(0)} (i = 1, 2, 3)$, when you use eq.(A22) later.

The classical trajectory $\boldsymbol{X}(t)$ is

$$\boldsymbol{X}_{(t)} = \boldsymbol{P}^{-1} \boldsymbol{Z}_{(t)} \tag{A16}$$

. We define $\boldsymbol{W}$'s three eigen vectors $\mathbf{p_1}, \mathbf{p_2}, \mathbf{p_3}$, they are corresponding to their respective eigen value $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, 0$.

$$\boldsymbol{P}^{-1} = (\mathbf{p_1}\ \mathbf{p_2}\ \mathbf{p_3}) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & \xi_2 & 1 \\ \eta_1 & \eta_2 & 1 \\ \zeta_1 & \zeta_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 & \xi_2 & 1 \\ \eta_1 & \eta_2 & 1 \\ -\xi_1 - \eta_1 & -\xi_2 - \eta_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{A17}$$

Here we difined

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_1 &= \omega_{12}^2 \omega_{23}^2 - \omega_{13}^2 (\omega_{13}^2 - \Delta\omega^2) & \xi_2 &= \omega_{12}^2 \omega_{23}^2 - \omega_{13}^2 (\omega_{13}^2 + \Delta\omega^2) \\ \eta_1 &= \omega_{12}^2 \omega_{13}^2 - \omega_{23}^2 (\omega_{23}^2 - \Delta\omega^2) & \eta_2 &= \omega_{12}^2 \omega_{13}^2 - \omega_{23}^2 (\omega_{23}^2 + \Delta\omega^2) \\ \zeta_1 &= -\xi_1 - \eta_1 & \zeta_2 &= -\xi_2 - \eta_2 \end{aligned} \tag{A18}$$

Using the formulae above, we can get a classical solution of $\boldsymbol{X}$(t) finally.

$$\boldsymbol{X}_{(t)} = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1(t)} \\ x_{2(t)} \\ x_{3(t)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_1 z_{1(t)} + \xi_2 z_{2(t)} + z_{3(t)} \\ \eta_1 z_{1(t)} + \eta_2 z_{2(t)} + z_{3(t)} \\ -(\xi_1 + \eta_1) z_{1(t)} - (\xi_2 + \eta_2) z_{2(t)} + z_{3(t)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{A19}$$

And with new constant $\Delta \equiv \eta_2 \xi_1 - \eta_1 \xi_2$, you can write $\boldsymbol{P}$.

$$\boldsymbol{P} = \frac{1}{3\Delta} \begin{pmatrix} 2\eta_2 + \xi_2 & -\eta_2 - 2\xi_2 & -\eta_2 + \xi_2 \\ -2\eta_1 - \xi_1 & \eta_1 + 2\xi_1 & \eta_1 - \xi_1 \\ \Delta & \Delta & \Delta \end{pmatrix} \tag{A20}$$

Then from

$$\boldsymbol{Z}_{(t)} = \boldsymbol{P}\boldsymbol{X}_{(t)} \tag{A21}$$

, we can get a formula for transformation to normal coordinates $\boldsymbol{Z}(t)$.

$$\boldsymbol{Z}_{(t)} = \begin{pmatrix} z_{1(t)} \\ z_{2(t)} \\ z_{3(t)} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{3\Delta} \begin{pmatrix} (2\eta_2 + \xi_2)x_{1(t)} + (-\eta_2 - 2\xi_2)x_{2(t)} + (-\eta_2 + \xi_2)x_{3(t)} \\ (-2\eta_1 - \xi_1)x_{1(t)} + (\eta_1 + 2\xi_1)x_{2(t)} + (\eta_1 - \xi_1)x_{3(t)} \\ \Delta x_{1(t)} + \Delta x_{2(t)} + \Delta x_{3(t)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{A22}$$

These formulae are very useful for evaluation of path integrals later.

A.2 Derivation of a propagator

In this section, a Feynman propagator for this model is derived. It describes an time evolution of wave functions. It is difficult to derive a propagator in the original coordinates $\boldsymbol{X}(t)$, so Lagrangian which contains $\boldsymbol{X}(t)$ is transformed into another Lagrangian which contains the normal coordinates $\boldsymbol{Z}(t)$. There are two uncoupled harmonic oscillators and a free particle in coordinates $\boldsymbol{Z}(t)$.

The transformation formulae are given in eq.(A19). The normal coordinates $\boldsymbol{Z}(t)$ are substituted into the original cordinates $\boldsymbol{X}(t)$ in the original Lagrangian eq.$(A1)$, then we get a equivalent Lanrangian in the normal coordinates $\boldsymbol{Z}(t)$.

$$L = \frac{m_1}{2}\dot{z}_{1(t)}^2 + \frac{m_2}{2}\dot{z}_{2(t)}^2 + \frac{m_3}{2}\dot{z}_{3(t)}^2 - \frac{m_1}{2}\omega_1^2 z_{1(t)}^2 - \frac{m_2}{2}\omega_2^2 z_{2(t)}^2 \tag{A23}$$

where

$$m_1 \equiv 2m(\xi_1^2 + \xi_1\eta_1 + \eta_1^2), \quad m_2 \equiv 2m(\xi_2^2 + \xi_2\eta_2 + \eta_2^2), \quad m_3 \equiv 3m \tag{A24}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \omega_1^2 \equiv \frac{m}{m_1}\{\ w_1^2(2\xi_1^2 - \xi_1\eta_1 - \eta_1^2) + w_2^2(-\xi_1^2 - \xi_1\eta_1 + 2\eta_1^2) + w_3^2(2\xi_1^2 + 5\xi_1\eta_1 + 2\eta_1^2)\ \} \\ \omega_2^2 \equiv \frac{m}{m_2}\{\ w_1^2(2\xi_2^2 - \xi_2\eta_2 - \eta_2^2) + w_2^2(-\xi_2^2 - \xi_2\eta_2 + 2\eta_2^2) + w_3^2(2\xi_2^2 + 5\xi_2\eta_2 + 2\eta_2^2)\ \} \\ (\ w_1^2 = \omega_{12}^2 + \omega_{13}^2, \quad w_2^2 = \omega_{12}^2 + \omega_{23}^2, \quad w_3^2 = \omega_{13}^2 + \omega_{23}^2\ ) \end{array} \right. \tag{A25}$$

So, we can decouple it with each variables.

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(z_{1(t)}, t) \equiv \frac{m_1}{2}\dot{z}_{1(t)}^2 - \frac{m_1}{2}\omega_1^2 z_{1(t)}^2,\ L_2(z_{2(t)}, t) \equiv \frac{m_2}{2}\dot{z}_{2(t)}^2 - \frac{m_2}{2}\omega_2^2 z_{2(t)}^2, \\ L_3(z_{3(t)}, t) \equiv \frac{m_3}{2}\dot{z}_{3(t)}^2 \quad : L = L_1 + L_2 + L_3 \end{aligned} \tag{A26}$$

For these Lagrangians, we can get classical action integrals which are summed up from an initial time t_0 to an arbitrary time t.

$$\begin{aligned} S^{(cl)}(\boldsymbol{Z}_{(t)}, t : \boldsymbol{Z}_{(t_0)}, t_0) &= \int_{t_0}^{t} L_{1(\tau)} d\tau + \int_{t_0}^{t} L_{2(\tau)} d\tau + \int_{t_0}^{t} L_{3(\tau)} d\tau \\ &\equiv S_1^{(cl)}(z_{1(t)}, t : z_{1(t_0)}, t_0) + S_2^{(cl)}(z_{2(t)}, t : z_{2(t_0)}, t_0) + S_3^{(cl)}(z_{3(t)}, t : z_{3(t_0)}, t_0) \end{aligned} \tag{A27}$$

Here respective actions are as follows.

$$S_1^{(cl)} = \frac{m_1\omega_1}{2\sin\left[\omega_1(t-t_0)\right]} \left\{ \cos\left[\omega_1(t-t_0)\right](z_{1(t)}^2 + z_{1(t_0)}^2) - 2z_{1(t)}z_{1(t_0)} \right\} \tag{A28}$$

$$S_2^{(cl)} = \frac{m_2\omega_2}{2\sin\left[\omega_2(t-t_0)\right]} \left\{ \cos\left[\omega_2(t-t_0)\right](z_{2(t)}^2 + z_{2(t_0)}^2) - 2z_{2(t)}z_{2(t_0)} \right\} \tag{A29}$$

$$S_3^{(cl)} = \frac{m_3(z_{3(t)} - z_{3(t_0)})^2}{2(t-t_0)} \tag{A30}$$

Using these formulae, we can get the propagator in the system $\boldsymbol{Z}(t)$. In following, (t) is often omitted, $\boldsymbol{Z} = \boldsymbol{Z}(t)$,$\boldsymbol{X} = \boldsymbol{X}(t)$. And $\boldsymbol{Z}_0 = \boldsymbol{Z}(t_0)$,$\boldsymbol{X}_0 = \boldsymbol{X}(t_0)$.

$$U(\boldsymbol{Z}, t : \boldsymbol{Z}_0, t_0) = \int_{\boldsymbol{Z}_{(t_0)}=\boldsymbol{Z}_0}^{\boldsymbol{Z}_{(t)}=\boldsymbol{Z}} D\boldsymbol{Z}(\tau) \exp\left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} S(\boldsymbol{Z}, t : \boldsymbol{Z}(\tau), \tau : \boldsymbol{Z}_0, t_0) \right\} \tag{A31}$$

$$\propto \exp\left\{ \frac{i}{\hbar} S^{(cl)}(\boldsymbol{Z}, t : \boldsymbol{Z}_0, t_0) \right\} \tag{A32}$$

Here $D\boldsymbol{Z} \equiv Dz_1 Dz_2 Dz_3$ means path integrals for three variables in system $\boldsymbol{Z}$. Though the action integral $S(\boldsymbol{Z}, t : \boldsymbol{Z}(\tau), \tau : \boldsymbol{Z}_0, t_0)$ depends on these integral paths and does not always follow the principle of minimum action, for free particles and for harmonic oscillators, it is known that the result of path integrals is in proportion to the value of saddle point of their integrands, that is, it comes to equation (A32). Maybe its proportional factor depends on the initial time t_0 and the final time t, but here I omit it. Because it is for a simplicity, and we can use computational normalization after.

Now we assume that the propagator in $\boldsymbol{Z}$ is equivalent to the propagator in original system $\boldsymbol{X}$. Transforming it with equation (A22), then we get equation (A33).

$$\begin{aligned} U(\boldsymbol{X}, t : \boldsymbol{X}_0, t_0) &= U(\boldsymbol{Z}, t : \boldsymbol{Z}_0, t_0) \\ &\propto \exp\Bigg[\frac{i}{\hbar} \Big\{ A_1 x_{1(0)}^2 + A_2 x_{2(0)}^2 + A_3 x_{3(0)}^2 + B_{12} x_{1(0)} x_{2(0)} \\ &\quad + B_{23} x_{2(0)} x_{3(0)} + B_{13} x_{1(0)} x_{3(0)} + C_1 x_{1(0)} + C_2 x_{2(0)} + C_3 x_{3(0)} + D \Big\} \Bigg] \end{aligned} \tag{A33}$$

New variables in equation (A33) are defined as follows. They are different from coefficients $A_1 - C_2$ in eq.(A13) and (A15). Matrix elements of the transformation matrix $\boldsymbol{P}$ in equation (A20) are rewritten as

$$\begin{array}{lll} a_1 = \frac{1}{3\Delta}(2\eta_2 + \xi_2) & a_2 = \frac{1}{3\Delta}(-\eta_2 - 2\xi_2) & a_3 = \frac{1}{3\Delta}(-\eta_2 + \xi_2) \\ b_1 = \frac{1}{3\Delta}(-2\eta_1 - \xi_1) & b_2 = \frac{1}{3\Delta}(\eta_1 + 2\xi_1) & b_3 = \frac{1}{3\Delta}(\eta_1 - \xi_1) \\ c_1 = \frac{1}{3} & c_2 = \frac{1}{3} & c_3 = \frac{1}{3} \end{array} \tag{A34}$$

, where constant $(\Delta = \eta_2\xi_1 - \eta_1\xi_2)$. Then we can get real coefficients $A_1 - D$ in equation (A33) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &= \frac{m_1\omega_1}{2}\cot[\omega_1(t-t_0)]a_i^2 + \frac{m_2\omega_2}{2}\cot[\omega_2(t-t_0)]b_i^2 + \frac{m_3}{2(t-t_0)}c_i^2 \\ B_{ij} &= m_1\omega_1\cot[\omega_1(t-t_0)]a_ia_j + m_2\omega_2\cot[\omega_2(t-t_0)]b_ib_j + \frac{m_3}{(t-t_0)}c_ic_j \\ C_i(\boldsymbol{X}) &= -\frac{m_1\omega_1}{\sin[\omega_1(t-t_0)]}\left(a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3\right)a_i \\ &\qquad -\frac{m_2\omega_2}{\sin[\omega_2(t-t_0)]}\left(b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 + b_3x_3\right)b_i \\ &\qquad -\frac{m_3}{(t-t_0)}\left(c_1x_1 + c_2x_2 + c_3x_3\right)c_i \\ D(\boldsymbol{X}) &= \frac{m_1\omega_1}{2}\cot[\omega_1(t-t_0)](a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + a_3x_3)^2 \\ &\qquad +\frac{m_2\omega_2}{2}\cot[\omega_2(t-t_0)](b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 + b_3x_3)^2 \\ &\qquad +\frac{m_3}{2(t-t_0)}(c_1x_1 + c_2x_2 + c_3x_3)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{A35}$$

Here $(i, j = 1, 2, 3)$, and index (t) is omitted, that is $(x_{i(t)} = x_i)$.

A.3 Derivation of wave function and calculation of reduced density function.

Using the propagator above, we can write a evolution of a wave function of whole system as follows.

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{X}, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\boldsymbol{X}_0\; U(\boldsymbol{X}, t : \boldsymbol{X}_0, t_0)\; \psi(\boldsymbol{X}_0, t_0) \tag{A36}$$

The initial wave function $\psi(\boldsymbol{X}_0, t_0)$ is a product of initial wave functions of each particle.

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{X}_0, t_0) = \psi_1(x_{1(0)}, t_0)\ \psi_2(x_{2(0)}, t_0)\ \psi_3(x_{3(0)}, t_0) \tag{A37}$$

Here, each initial state is the Schrödinger cat state.

$$\psi_1(x_{1(0)}, t_0) = \tilde{N_1}\left[\exp\left\{-\frac{x_{1(0)}^2}{4\sigma_1^2}\right\} + \exp\left\{-\frac{(x_{1(0)}-d_1)^2}{4\sigma_1^2}\right\}\right] \quad \text{etc...} \tag{A38}$$

With $(A33)$,$(A37)$ and $(A38)$, equation $(A36)$ comes to equation $(A39)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(\boldsymbol{X}, t) \propto & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{1(0)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{2(0)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{3(0)} \\
\times \exp\Bigg[\frac{i}{\hbar}\{ & A_1 x_{1(0)}^2 + A_2 x_{2(0)}^2 + A_3 x_{3(0)}^2 + B_{12} x_{1(0)} x_{2(0)} + B_{23} x_{2(0)} x_{3(0)} \\
& + B_{13} x_{1(0)} x_{3(0)} + C_1 x_{1(0)} + C_2 x_{2(0)} + C_3 x_{3(0)} + D\}\Bigg] \\
\times \Bigg[\exp\left\{-\frac{x_{1(0)}^2}{4\sigma_1^2} - \frac{x_{2(0)}^2}{4\sigma_2^2} - \frac{x_{3(0)}^2}{4\sigma_3^2}\right\} + \exp\left\{-\frac{(x_{1(0)}-d_1)^2}{4\sigma_1^2} - \frac{x_{2(0)}^2}{4\sigma_2^2} - \frac{x_{3(0)}^2}{4\sigma_3^2}\right\} + \cdots \\
\cdots + \exp\left\{-\frac{(x_{1(0)}-d_1)^2}{4\sigma_1^2} - \frac{(x_{2(0)}-d_2)^2}{4\sigma_2^2} - \frac{(x_{3(0)}-d_3)^2}{4\sigma_3^2}\right\}\Bigg]
\end{aligned} \tag{A39}$$

The latter $[\cdots]$ of this formula means 8 Gaussian packets in (x_1, x_2, x_3) space. Each packet changes by propagator. For evaluating their analytic forms, integration with three variables is needed. With new complex coefficients $\breve{A}_1 - \breve{D}$, it turns into

$$\psi(\boldsymbol{X}, t) = \sum_{k=0-7} \psi^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X}, t) \tag{A40}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X}, t) \propto & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{1(0)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{2(0)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{3(0)} \\
\times \exp\Big[& -\breve{A}_1 x_{1(0)}^2 - \breve{A}_2 x_{2(0)}^2 - \breve{A}_3 x_{3(0)}^2 + \breve{B}_{12} x_{1(0)} x_{2(0)} + \breve{B}_{23} x_{2(0)} x_{3(0)} \\
& + \breve{B}_{13} x_{1(0)} x_{3(0)} + \breve{C}_1^{(k)} x_{1(0)} + \breve{C}_2^{(k)} x_{2(0)} + \breve{C}_3^{(k)} x_{3(0)} + \breve{D}^{(k)}\Big]
\end{aligned} \tag{A41}$$

$(k = 0 - 7)$, which is the Gaussian integrals we have to solve .

$$\breve{A}_1 = \frac{1}{4\sigma_1^2} - \frac{i}{\hbar} A_1, \quad \breve{B}_{12} = \frac{i}{\hbar} B_{12}, \quad \breve{C}_1^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X}) = \frac{d_1^{(k)}}{2\sigma_1^2} + \frac{i}{\hbar} C_1(\boldsymbol{X}) \quad \text{etc...}$$

$$\breve{D}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X}) = -\frac{d_1^{(k)2}}{4\sigma_1^2} - \frac{d_2^{(k)2}}{4\sigma_2^2} - \frac{d_3^{(k)2}}{4\sigma_3^2} + \frac{i}{\hbar} D(\boldsymbol{X}) \tag{A42}$$

Here $d_1^{(k)}, d_2^{(k)}, d_3^{(k)}$ are defined for each $(k = 0 - 7)$ as follows.

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc} & [& d_1^{(k)} & d_2^{(k)} & d_3^{(k)} &] & & & & & \\ k=0 & [& 0 & 0 & 0 &] & 4 & [& d_1 & d_2 & 0 &] \\ 1 & [& d_1 & 0 & 0 &] & 5 & [& d_1 & 0 & d_3 &] \\ 2 & [& 0 & d_2 & 0 &] & 6 & [& 0 & d_2 & d_3 &] \\ 3 & [& 0 & 0 & d_3 &] & 7 & [& d_1 & d_2 & d_3 &] \end{array}\right) \tag{A43}$$

Evaluating this Gaussian integrals, we see

$$\psi^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X}, t) \propto \sqrt{\frac{\pi^3}{\Delta}} \exp\left[\frac{1}{16\Delta}\Phi^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X}, t) + \breve{D}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X}, t)\right] \tag{A44}$$

, where new $\Delta = \Delta(t)$ is defined as follows.

$$\Delta(t) = \breve{A}_1\breve{A}_2\breve{A}_3 - \frac{1}{4}(\breve{A}_2\breve{B}_{13}^2 + \breve{A}_3\breve{B}_{12}^2 + \breve{A}_1\breve{B}_{23}^2) - \frac{1}{4}\breve{B}_{12}\breve{B}_{13}\breve{B}_{23} \tag{A45}$$

$$\equiv \Re e\Delta(t) + i\ \Im m\Delta(t) \tag{A46}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Re e\Delta(t) = \frac{1}{4^3\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2\sigma_3^2} - \frac{1}{4\hbar^2}\left(\frac{A_2A_3}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{A_3A_1}{\sigma_2^2} + \frac{A_1A_2}{\sigma_3^2}\right) \\ + \frac{1}{16\hbar^2}\left(\frac{B_{23}^2}{\sigma_1^2} + \frac{B_{13}^2}{\sigma_2^2} + \frac{B_{12}^2}{\sigma_3^2}\right) \end{aligned} \tag{A47}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Im m\Delta(t) = \frac{1}{\hbar^3}A_1A_2A_3 - \frac{1}{16\hbar}\left(\frac{A_3}{\sigma_1^2\sigma_2^2} + \frac{A_1}{\sigma_2^2\sigma_3^2} + \frac{A_2}{\sigma_3^2\sigma_1^2}\right) \\ - \frac{1}{4\hbar^3}(A_1B_{23}^2 + A_2B_{13}^2 + A_3B_{12}^2) + \frac{1}{4\hbar^3}B_{12}B_{13}B_{23} \end{aligned} \tag{A48}$$

, while

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X}, t) = 4(\ \breve{A}_2\breve{A}_3\breve{C}_1^2(\boldsymbol{X}) + \breve{A}_1\breve{A}_3\breve{C}_2^2(\boldsymbol{X}) + \breve{A}_1\breve{A}_2\breve{C}_3^2(\boldsymbol{X})\ ) \\ -\breve{B}_{23}^2\breve{C}_1^2(\boldsymbol{X}) - \breve{B}_{13}^2\breve{C}_2^2(\boldsymbol{X}) - \breve{B}_{12}^2\breve{C}_3^2(\boldsymbol{X}) \\ +2(\ \breve{B}_{13}\breve{B}_{23}\breve{C}_1(\boldsymbol{X})\breve{C}_2(\boldsymbol{X}) + \breve{B}_{12}\breve{B}_{13}\breve{C}_2(\boldsymbol{X})\breve{C}_3(\boldsymbol{X}) + \breve{B}_{12}\breve{B}_{23}\breve{C}_1(\boldsymbol{X})\breve{C}_3(\boldsymbol{X})\ ) \\ +4(\ \breve{A}_1\breve{B}_{23}\breve{C}_2(\boldsymbol{X})\breve{C}_3(\boldsymbol{X}) + \breve{A}_2\breve{B}_{13}\breve{C}_1(\boldsymbol{X})\breve{C}_3(\boldsymbol{X}) + \breve{A}_3\breve{B}_{12}\breve{C}_1(\boldsymbol{X})\breve{C}_2(\boldsymbol{X})\ ) \end{aligned} \tag{A49}$$

. We introduce new complex coefficients

$$(4\breve{A}_2\breve{A}_3 - \breve{B}_{23}^2) \equiv \lambda_1 \ , (4\breve{A}_1\breve{A}_3 - \breve{B}_{13}^2) \equiv \lambda_2 \ , (4\breve{A}_1\breve{A}_2 - \breve{B}_{12}^2) \equiv \lambda_3$$
$$(2\breve{B}_{13}\breve{B}_{23} + 4\breve{A}_3\breve{B}_{12}) \equiv \mu_{12} \ , (2\breve{B}_{12}\breve{B}_{23} + 4\breve{A}_2\breve{B}_{13}) \equiv \mu_{13} \ ,$$
$$(2\breve{B}_{12}\breve{B}_{13} + 4\breve{A}_1\breve{B}_{23}) \equiv \mu_{23} \tag{A50}$$

, then we can rewrite $\Phi^{(k)}$ as follows.

$$\Phi^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X}, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i \breve{C}_i^2(\boldsymbol{X}) \ + \sum_{\substack{(i,j)=(1,2) \text{ or} \\ (2,3) \text{ or } (3,1)}} \mu_{ij} \breve{C}_i(\boldsymbol{X}) \breve{C}_j(\boldsymbol{X}) \tag{A51}$$

. Here, we write the real part and the imaginary part of λ_i and μ_{ij}.

$$\lambda_i \equiv \Re e \lambda_i + i \ \Im m \lambda_i \tag{A52}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Re e \lambda_i = -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \Big\{ & m_1\omega_1 \cot[\omega_1(t-t_0)] \ m_2\omega_2 \cot[\omega_2(t-t_0)] \ (a_j b_k - a_k b_j)^2 \\ & + m_2\omega_2 \cot[\omega_2(t-t_0)] \ \frac{m_3}{(t-t_0)} \ (b_j c_k - b_k c_j)^2 \\ & + \frac{m_3}{(t-t_0)} \ m_1\omega_1 \cot[\omega_1(t-t_0)] \ (c_j a_k - c_k a_j)^2 \Big\} + \frac{1}{4\sigma_j^2\sigma_k^2} \end{aligned} \tag{A53}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Im m \lambda_i = -\frac{1}{2\hbar} \Big\{ & m_1\omega_1 \cot[\omega_1(t-t_0)] \left(\frac{a_j^2}{\sigma_k^2} + \frac{a_k^2}{\sigma_j^2} \right) \\ & + m_2\omega_2 \cot[\omega_2(t-t_0)] \left(\frac{b_j^2}{\sigma_k^2} + \frac{b_k^2}{\sigma_j^2} \right) + \frac{m_3}{(t-t_0)} \left(\frac{c_j^2}{\sigma_k^2} + \frac{c_k^2}{\sigma_j^2} \right) \Big\} \end{aligned} \tag{A54}$$

and

$$\mu_{ij} \equiv \Re e\mu_{ij} + i\ \Im m\mu_{ij} \tag{A55}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Re e\mu_{ij} = -\frac{2}{\hbar^2}\Big\{ & m_1\omega_1 \cot[\omega_1(t-t_0)]\ m_2\omega_2 \cot[\omega_2(t-t_0)]\ (a_jb_k - a_kb_j)(a_kb_i - a_ib_k) \\ & + m_2\omega_2 \cot[\omega_2(t-t_0)]\ \frac{m_3}{(t-t_0)}\ (b_jc_k - b_kc_j)(b_kc_i - b_ic_k) \\ & + \frac{m_3}{(t-t_0)}\ m_1\omega_1 \cot[\omega_1(t-t_0)]\ (c_ja_k - c_ka_j)(c_ka_i - c_ia_k)\ \Big\}\end{aligned} \tag{A56}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Im m\mu_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sigma_k^2\hbar}\Big\{ & m_1\omega_1 \cot[\omega_1(t-t_0)]a_ia_j \\ & + m_2\omega_2 \cot[\omega_2(t-t_0)]b_ib_j\ + \frac{m_3}{(t-t_0)}c_ic_j\ \Big\}\end{aligned} \tag{A57}$$

$$\Big(\ (i,j,k) = (1,2,3) \text{ or } (2,3,1) \text{ or } (3,1,2)\ \Big)$$

. Besides, we introduce new complex factors $(La_{11} - Mu_0^{(k)})$.

$$La_{dd} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i \alpha_d^{(i)2}, \quad Mu_{dd} = \sum_{\substack{(i,j)=(1,2) \text{ or} \\ (2,3) \text{ or } (3,1)}} \mu_{ij}\alpha_d^{(i)}\alpha_d^{(j)} \quad (\ d = 1,2,3\ )$$

$$La_{df} = 2\sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i \alpha_d^{(i)}\alpha_f^{(i)}, \quad Mu_{df} = \sum_{(i,j)} \mu_{ij}\left(\alpha_d^{(i)}\alpha_f^{(j)} + \alpha_d^{(j)}\alpha_f^{(i)}\right) \tag{A58}$$

$$\Big(\ (d,f) = (1,2) \text{ or } (2,3) \text{ or } (3,1)\ \Big)$$

$$La_d^{(k)} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i \frac{d_i^{(k)}}{\sigma_i^2}\alpha_d^{(i)}, \quad Mu_d^{(k)} = 0.5\sum_{(i,j)} \mu_{ij}\left(\frac{d_i^{(k)}}{\sigma_i^2}\alpha_d^{(j)} + \frac{d_j^{(k)}}{\sigma_j^2}\alpha_d^{(i)}\right)$$

$$La_0^{(k)} = 0.25\sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_i \frac{d_i^{(k)2}}{\sigma_i^4}, \quad Mu_0^{(k)} = 0.25\sum_{(i,j)} \mu_{ij}\frac{d_i^{(k)}}{\sigma_i^2}\frac{d_j^{(k)}}{\sigma_j^2} \tag{A59}$$

These $\alpha_d^{(i)}$ are real,

$$C_i(\boldsymbol{X}) = -\alpha_1^{(i)}x_1 - \alpha_2^{(i)}x_2 - \alpha_3^{(i)}x_3 \tag{A60}$$

$$\alpha_d^{(i)} \equiv \left(\frac{m_1\omega_1}{\sin[\omega_1(t-t_0)]}a_i\right)a_d + \left(\frac{m_2\omega_2}{\sin[\omega_2(t-t_0)]}b_i\right)b_d + \left(\frac{m_3}{(t-t_0)}c_i\right)c_d \tag{A61}$$

therefore the real and the imaginary parts of ($La_{11} - Mu_0^{(k)}$) simply correspond to each of (λ_i, μ_{ij}), that is

$$\Re e La_{dd} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \Re e \lambda_i \alpha_d^{(i)2} \quad \text{etc..} \tag{A62}$$

, then the real and imaginary parts of $\Phi^{(k)}$ are

$$\Phi^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X}, t) \equiv \Re e \Phi^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X}, t) + i \, \Im m \Phi^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X}, t) \tag{A63}$$

. With the help of the formulae above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Re e \Phi^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X}, t) = & -\frac{1}{\hbar^2}(\Re e La_{11} + \Re e Mu_{11}) x_1^2 - \frac{1}{\hbar^2}(\Re e La_{22} + \Re e Mu_{22}) x_2^2 \\
& -\frac{1}{\hbar^2}(\Re e La_{33} + \Re e Mu_{33}) x_3^2 - \frac{1}{\hbar^2}(\Re e La_{12} + \Re e Mu_{12}) x_1 x_2 \\
& -\frac{1}{\hbar^2}(\Re e La_{23} + \Re e Mu_{23}) x_2 x_3 - \frac{1}{\hbar^2}(\Re e La_{31} + \Re e Mu_{31}) x_3 x_1 \\
& +\frac{1}{\hbar}(\Im m La_1^{(k)} + \Im m Mu_1^{(k)}) x_1 + \frac{1}{\hbar}(\Im m La_2^{(k)} + \Im m Mu_2^{(k)}) x_2 \\
& +\frac{1}{\hbar}(\Im m La_3^{(k)} + \Im m Mu_3^{(k)}) x_3 + \Re e La_0^{(k)} + \Re e Mu_0^{(k)}
\end{aligned} \tag{A64}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Im m \Phi^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X}, t) = & -\frac{1}{\hbar^2}(\Im m La_{11} + \Im m Mu_{11}) x_1^2 - \frac{1}{\hbar^2}(\Im m La_{22} + \Im m Mu_{22}) x_2^2 \\
& -\frac{1}{\hbar^2}(\Im m La_{33} + \Im m Mu_{33}) x_3^2 - \frac{1}{\hbar^2}(\Im m La_{12} + \Im m Mu_{12}) x_1 x_2 \\
& -\frac{1}{\hbar^2}(\Im m La_{23} + \Im m Mu_{23}) x_2 x_3 - \frac{1}{\hbar^2}(\Im m La_{31} + \Im m Mu_{31}) x_3 x_1 \\
& -\frac{1}{\hbar}(\Re e La_1^{(k)} + \Re e Mu_1^{(k)}) x_1 - \frac{1}{\hbar}(\Re e La_2^{(k)} + \Re e Mu_2^{(k)}) x_2 \\
& -\frac{1}{\hbar}(\Re e La_3^{(k)} + \Re e Mu_3^{(k)}) x_3 + \Im m La_0^{(k)} + \Im m Mu_0^{(k)}
\end{aligned} \tag{A65}$$

. And another formula $\breve{D}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X})$ is

$$\breve{D}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X}) \equiv \Re e \breve{D}^{(k)} + i \, \Im m \breve{D}(\boldsymbol{X}) \tag{A66}$$

$$\Re e \breve{D}^{(k)} = -\frac{d_1^{(k)2}}{4\sigma_1^2} - \frac{d_2^{(k)2}}{4\sigma_2^2} - \frac{d_3^{(k)2}}{4\sigma_3^2} \tag{A67}$$

$$\Im m \breve{D}(\boldsymbol{X}) = D(\boldsymbol{X})/\hbar \tag{A68}$$

. Next, the wave function is from (A44),

$$\psi^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X},t) \propto \sqrt{\frac{\pi^3\Delta^*}{|\Delta|^2}}\ \exp\left[\ \frac{\Delta^*}{16|\Delta|^2}\Phi^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X},t) + \breve{D}^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X},t)\ \right] \tag{A69}$$

$$\equiv\ \breve{Q}\ \exp[\ \Theta^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X},t)\ ] \tag{A70}$$

, where

$$\breve{Q} \equiv \Re e\breve{Q} + i\ \Im m\breve{Q} \tag{A71}$$

$$\Re e\breve{Q} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi^3}{|\Delta|}}\ \cos\frac{\phi}{2}\ ,\quad \Im m\breve{Q} = \sqrt{\frac{\pi^3}{|\Delta|}}\ \sin\frac{\phi}{2}\qquad : \phi = \arctan\left(\Im m\Delta/\Re e\Delta\right) \tag{A72}$$

and

$$\Theta^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X},t) \equiv \Re e\Theta^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X},t) + i\ \Im m\Theta^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X},t) \tag{A73}$$

$$\Re e\Theta^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X},t) = \frac{1}{16|\Delta|^2}(\ \Re e\Delta\cdot\Re e\Phi^{(k)} + \Im m\Delta\cdot\Im m\Phi^{(k)}\ ) + \Re e\breve{D}^{(k)} \tag{A74}$$

$$\Im m\Theta^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X},t) = \frac{1}{16|\Delta|^2}(\ \Re e\Delta\cdot\Im m\Phi^{(k)} - \Im m\Delta\cdot\Re e\Phi^{(k)}\ ) + \Im m\breve{D}(\boldsymbol{X}) \tag{A75}$$

. Then, the real part and the imaginary part of the wave functions for respective k are as follows.

$$\psi^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X},t) \equiv \Re e\psi^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X},t) + i\ \Im m\psi^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X},t) \tag{A76}$$

$$\Re e\psi^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X},t) \propto \exp[\ \Re e\Theta^{(k)}\ ]\left(\ \Re e\breve{Q}\cdot\cos[\Im m\Theta^{(k)}] - \Im m\breve{Q}\cdot\sin[\Im m\Theta^{(k)}]\ \right)$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{\pi^3}{|\Delta|}}\ \exp[\ \Re e\Theta^{(k)}\ ]\cdot\cos\left[\ \Im m\Theta^{(k)} + \frac{\phi}{2}\ \right] \tag{A77}$$

$$\Im m\psi^{(k)}(\boldsymbol{X},t) \propto \exp[\ \Re e\Theta^{(k)}\ ]\left(\ \Re e\breve{Q}\cdot\sin[\Im m\Theta^{(k)}] + \Im m\breve{Q}\cdot\cos[\Im m\Theta^{(k)}]\ \right)$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{\pi^3}{|\Delta|}}\ \exp[\ \Re e\Theta^{(k)}\ ]\cdot\sin\left[\ \Im m\Theta^{(k)} + \frac{\phi}{2}\ \right] \tag{A78}$$

Therefore the total wave function summed with $(k = 0 - 7)$ is

$$\psi^{(total)}(\boldsymbol{X}, t) \equiv \Re e\psi^{(total)}(\boldsymbol{X}, t) + i\ \Im m\psi^{(total)}(\boldsymbol{X}, t) \tag{A79}$$

$$\Re e\psi^{(total)} = C\sqrt{\frac{\pi^3}{|\Delta|}}\ \sum_{k=0}^{7} \exp[\ \Re e\Theta^{(k)}\ ] \cdot \cos\left[\ \Im m\Theta^{(k)} + \frac{\phi}{2}\ \right] \tag{A80}$$

$$\Im m\psi^{(total)} = C\sqrt{\frac{\pi^3}{|\Delta|}}\ \sum_{k=0}^{7} \exp[\ \Re e\Theta^{(k)}\ ] \cdot \sin\left[\ \Im m\Theta^{(k)} + \frac{\phi}{2}\ \right] \tag{A81}$$

, where we introduced a real normalization constant C. Then the quantum mechanical probability density function of whole system becomes as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho^{(total)}(\boldsymbol{X}, t) &= \Re e^2\psi^{(total)} + \Im m^2\psi^{(total)} \\
&= C^2\frac{\pi^3}{|\Delta|}\ \sum_{k=0}^{7}\sum_{l=0}^{7} \exp[\ \Re e\Theta^{(k)} + \Re e\Theta^{(l)}\ ] \cdot \cos[\ \Im m\Theta^{(k)} - \Im m\Theta^{(l)}\ ] \\
&= C^2\frac{\pi^3}{|\Delta|}\Bigg(\sum_{k=0}^{7} \exp[\ 2\Re e\Theta^{(k)}\ ] \\
&\qquad\qquad +2\sum_{k<l}^{0-7} \exp[\ \Re e\Theta^{(k)} + \Re e\Theta^{(l)}\ ] \cdot \cos[\ \Im m\Theta^{(k)} - \Im m\Theta^{(l)}\ ]\Bigg)
\end{aligned} \tag{A82}$$

The first term in $(\cdots)$ of equation $(A82)$ means eight wave packets which originally are the Gaussian packets at the initial time t_0. So we may call them "definitive" part of the density functions for whole system, or simply "packets". While the second term, is their "interference" part. But I should emphasize that it should not be vanished by quantum decoherence here! In fact, the "interference" part is different from what we have to observe.

Because now we are not interested in the information about whole system which contains three particles. We are only interested in the information about a sub-system, particle-1. So we have to average out the information about particle-2 and particle-3. Then we can get the information about particle-1 only, that is, the reduced density function for particle-1.

$$\tilde{\rho}_1^{(reduced)}(x_1, t) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_2\ dx_3\ \rho^{(total)}(\boldsymbol{X}, t) \tag{A83}$$

We substitute ($A82$) into ($A83$),

$$\tilde{\rho}_1^{(reduced)}(x_1,t) = C^2\frac{\pi^3}{|\Delta|}\Big(\sum_{k=0}^{7}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_2\ dx_3\ \exp[\ 2\Re e\Theta^{(k)}\ ] \\ +2\sum_{k<l}^{0-7}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_2\ dx_3\ \exp[\ \Re e\Theta^{(k)}+\Re e\Theta^{(l)}\ ]\cdot\cos[\ \Im m\Theta^{(k)}-\Im m\Theta^{(l)}\ ]\Big) \tag{A84}$$

Here we notice that there are two kinds of "the interference". When there is a interference between different packets, macroscopic states of particle-1 which is included in each packet may be the same. For understanding this, it is simple that we think of the initial states.

From eq.($A43$),

$$\begin{aligned} k=0,2,3,6 &\ :\ \text{Packets around } (x_1=0) \text{ at initial time } (t=t_0).\\ k=1,4,5,7 &\ :\ \text{Packets around } (x_1=d_1) \text{ at initial time } (t=t_0). \end{aligned} \tag{A85}$$

The 8 packets in the (x_1,x_2,x_3) space are classified into these two groups. Interferences between packets in the same group mean the transitions between states of particle-2 or of particle-3, not of particle-1. After the transitions, particle-1 remains in the same macroscopic state yet. Therefore they are not the true interference between different macroscopic states of particle-1 which we really want to see.

Now we have to classify these 8 packets into two groups above, and we have to add these interference terms between packets in the same group to "definitive" parts. Then we will get new packets. We should regard them as the effective macroscopic states for the particle-1. This procedure was suggested from numerical simulations. Because interferences between packets in same group also grow into new packet. Finally we can get as follows.

The packet which was around $(x_1=0)$ at initial time t_0.

$$\tilde{\rho}_{1\_0}^{\text{eff}}(x_1,t) \equiv C^2\frac{\pi^3}{|\Delta|}\sum_{k=0,2,3,6}\Big(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_2\ dx_3\ \exp[\ 2\Re e\Theta^{(k)}\ ] \\ +2\sum_{l=0,2,3,6}^{k<l}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_2\ dx_3\ \exp[\ \Re e\Theta^{(k)}+\Re e\Theta^{(l)}\ ]\cdot\cos[\ \Im m\Theta^{(k)}-\Im m\Theta^{(l)}\ ]\Big) \tag{A86}$$

The packet which was around $(x_1 = d_1)$ at initial time t_0.

$$\tilde{\rho}_{1\_d}^{\text{eff}}(x_1,t) \equiv C^2\frac{\pi^3}{|\Delta|}\sum_{k=1,4,5,7}\Bigg(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_2\ dx_3\ \exp[\ 2\Re e\Theta^{(k)}\ ] \\ +2\sum_{l=1,4,5,7}^{k<l}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_2\ dx_3\ \exp[\ \Re e\Theta^{(k)}+\Re e\Theta^{(l)}\ ]\cdot\cos[\ \Im m\Theta^{(k)}-\Im m\Theta^{(l)}\ ]\Bigg) \tag{A87}$$

Their effective interference term.

$$\tilde{\rho}_{1\_int}^{\text{eff}}(x_1,t) \equiv \\ 4C^2\frac{\pi^3}{|\Delta|}\sum_{\substack{k=0,2,3,6\\ l=1,4,5,7}}^{k<l}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_2\ dx_3\ \exp[\ \Re e\Theta^{(k)}+\Re e\Theta^{(l)}\ ]\cdot\cos[\ \Im m\Theta^{(k)}-\Im m\Theta^{(l)}\ ] \tag{A88}$$

Finally, we get the reduced density for particle 1 as follow.

$$\tilde{\rho}_1(x_1,t) = \tilde{\rho}_{1\_0}^{\text{eff}}(x_1,t) + \tilde{\rho}_{1\_d}^{\text{eff}}(x_1,t) + \tilde{\rho}_{1\_int}^{\text{eff}}(x_1,t) \tag{A89}$$

Numerical calculations are used for integrations in eq.(A83),(A84),(A86)-(A88), and final normalization which determines constant $C^2\frac{\pi^3}{|\Delta|}$.